HON'BLE SHRI G.S. SINGHVI, THE CHIEF JUSTICE
AND
HON'BLE Ms. JUSTICE G. ROHINI

Writ Appeal No. 904 of 2007

Between:

Smt. A. Mangamma

… Appellant

and

A.P. State Road Transport Corporation,
Rep. by its Managing Director,
Hyderabad & others

... Respondents

:: J U D G M E N T ::

Counsel for the appellant : Shri A.K. Jaya Prakash Rao

Counsel for the respondents : Shri L. Santha Ram for
Shri P. Vinayaka Swamy

25th October, 2007

Per G. S. Singhvi, CJ

This appeal is directed against order dated 02.03.2007 passed by the learned Single Judge in W.P.M.P.No. 23520 of 2006 in Writ Petition No.18705 of 2006, whereby he declined the appellant's prayer

for interim relief.

We have heard Shri A.K. Jaya Prakash Rao, learned counsel for the appellant and Shri L. Santha Ram, learned counsel for the respondents and are satisfied that the discretion exercised by the learned Single Judge not to entertain the appellant's prayer for interim relief does not suffer from any legal infirmity warranting interference under Clause 15 of the Letters Patent.

In the main writ petition, the petitioner has prayed for issue of a direction to the respondents to consider her case for promotion. Such relief can be granted to the appellant only at the time of final adjudication of the writ petition. Therefore, there can be no justification for issue of an interlocutory mandatory direction for her promotion to the higher post.

With the above observation, the appeal is dismissed.

G.S. SINGHVI, CJ

Date: 25-10-2007

G. ROHINI, J

ksld